

1 MARINA C. TSATALIS, State Bar No. 178897
2 KORAY J. BULUT, State Bar No. 23298
3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
4 650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

5 Attorneys for Defendants
6 ACTEL CORPORATION, BARBARA
McARTHUR AND JASON FENG
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 *E-FILED - 5/18/06*

11 ROBERT WILLIAMS, an individual)	CASE NO.: C06-02403 RMW
12 Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND
13 v.)	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO
14 ACTEL CORPORATION INC., a California)	BINDING ARBITRATION,
15 Corporation; BARBARA MCARTHUR, an)	STAYING COURT ACTION
16 Individual and as Vice President of Human)	PENDING ARBITRATION, AND
17 Resources of Actel Corporation, JASON FENG,)	EXTENDING TIME FOR
18 an individual and as the managing supervisor of)	DEFENDANTS TO FILE
Plaintiff at Actel Corporation, and DOES 1)	RESPONSIVE PLEADING
through DOES 100 inclusive,)	PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL
)	RULE 6-1(a)
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Robert Williams. (“Plaintiff”) filed its Complaint against Actel Corporation, Barbara McArthur and Jason Feng (“Defendants”) (collectively the “Parties”) on February 14, 2006;

WHEREAS, Defendants removed this action to United States District Court on April 6, 2006;

STIPULATION AND ORDER
REFERRING CASE TO BINDING
ARBITRATION, STAYING COURT ACTION
PENDING ARBITRATION, AND
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING PURSUANT
TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-1(a)
CASE NO.: C06-02403 RS

PALIB1_2866755_1.DOC

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff agreed to extend the time for Defendants to file their responsive
2 pleading to April 25, 2006, which agreement was set forth in a Stipulation and filed with this
3 Court on April 21, 2006;

4 WHEREAS, pursuant to an arbitration agreement set forth in the 2004 Actel Corporation
5 Employee Guidelines, Plaintiff agreed to binding arbitration of the causes of action that he has
6 alleged in this case; and

7 WHEREAS, Defendants are providing Plaintiff with a complete copy of the 2004 Actel
8 Corporation Employee Guidelines, at his request.

9 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties HEREBY STIPULTAE as follows:

10 1. Subject to Plaintiff's review of the entire 2004 Actel Corporation Employee
11 Guidelines, Plaintiff will comply with his agreement to arbitrate and submit the causes of action
12 that he has alleged in this case to binding arbitration pursuant to his arbitration agreement.

13 2. The court action shall be stayed pending the completion of the arbitration.

14 3. Plaintiff shall inform Defendants by 5:00 p.m. on April 26, 2006 if he refuses to
15 proceed to binding arbitration based on any provision of the 2004 Actel Corporation Employee
16 Guidelines.

17 4. If Plaintiff timely refuses to proceed to arbitration based on his review of the 2004
18 Actel Corporation Employee Guidelines, the deadline for Defendants to file their first responsive
19 pleading shall be extended to April 27, 2006.

20 | IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21 || Dated: April 25, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

By:/s/ Koray J. Bulut
Koray J. Bulut

Attorneys for Defendants
ACTEL CORPORATION, BARBARA
McARTHUR AND JASON FENG

27 STIPULATION AND ORDER
28 REFERRING CASE TO BINDING
ARBITRATION, STAYING COURT ACTION
PENDING ARBITRATION, AND
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING PURSUANT
TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-1(a)
CASE NO.: C06-02403 RS

1 Dated: April 25, 2006

KALLIS LAW FIRM

2 By: _____
3 Jeffrey Kallis

4 Attorneys for Plaintiff
5 ROBERT WILLIAMS

6 **O R D E R**

7 IT IS SO ORDERED. The court action is hereby stayed until the binding arbitration has
8 been had in accordance with the terms of the parties' agreement.

9 Dated: May 18, 2006

10 /S/ RONALD M. WHYTE _____
Hon. Ronald M. Whyte U.S. District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 STIPULATION AND ORDER
28 REFERRING CASE TO BINDING
ARBITRATION, STAYING COURT ACTION
PENDING ARBITRATION, AND
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING PURSUANT
TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-1(a)
CASE NO.: C06-02403 RS